arXiv:1404.6507v2 [hep-th] 8 Dec 2014

FIAN/TD-03/14
ITEP/TH-09/14

On Lie Groups and Toda Lattices

O. Kruglinskaya and A. Marshakov

Theory Department, Lebedev Physics Institute,
Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
Department of Mathematics and Laboratory of
Mathematical Physics, NRU HSE, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

We extend the construction of the relativistic Toda chains as integrable systems on the Poisson submanifolds in Lie groups beyond the case of A-series. For the simply-laced case this is just a direct generalization of the well-known relativistic Toda chains, and we construct explicitly the set of the Ad-invariant integrals of motion on symplectic leaves, which can be described by the Poisson quivers being just the blown up Dynkin diagrams. We also demonstrate how to get the set of "minimal" integrals of motion, using the co-multiplication rules for the corresponding Lie algebras. In the non simply-laced case the corresponding Bogoyavlensky-Coxeter-Toda systems are constructed using the Fock-Goncharov folding of the corresponding Poisson submanifolds. We discuss also how this procedure can be extended for the affine case beyond A-series, and consider explicitly an example from the affine D-series.

1 Introduction

The relation to Lie groups is one of the basic stones of the theory of integrable systems, see e.g. [1]. It comes out in a particularly straightforward way [2], when an integrable model can be directly constructed on a Poisson submanifold in Lie group. Integrability in this case just follows from existence of the Ad-invariant functions on group manifold, which are in the involution w.r.t. to the r-matrix Poisson bracket, consistent with the group multiplication law.

This relation has been studied in detail [3, 4] and extended to the affine Lie groups of the A_{N-1} series, where it gives rise to a nontrivial wide class of integrable models, alternatively discovered in [5] as arising from the dimer models on bipartite graphs on a torus. This has been done effectively due to ability to use the language of cluster varieties [6] or cluster algebras, as they are called more often. In cluster coordinates the Poisson bracket becomes logarithmically constant and can be encoded by the Poisson graph (or Poisson quiver) with cluster coordinates attached to its vertices, while the integrals of motion turn to be the cluster functions, and can be computed explicitly, using the so called Lax map.

There is certainly not a unique way to introduce cluster structure on the Poisson submanifolds in Lie groups, which can be also viewed as double Bruhat cells [7, 8]. A particular choice of a system of cluster coordinates is mostly a question of personal taste or convenience: for example slightly different, but related languages to what we are going to use below can be found, for example, in [9, 10, 11], and in [12, 13], where they are adjusted to study the discrete flows in such systems, mostly known from which is the pentagram map. We are going to describe the Poisson submanifolds as cluster $\mathcal{X}$-varieties, following the amalgamation procedure proposed in [17], which turns to be the most transparent for the formulation of relativistic Toda systems on the symplectic leaves in $G/\mathrm{Ad}H$.

The main aim of this paper is show, that this language can be directly extended to the other series of Lie groups (while some other choices of coordinates can be more useful to study, for example, different cluster structure on $SL(N)$, see [9]). We first show, that the construction of [3, 4] can be applied almost without modifications to all simple Lie groups of the ADE-series, resulting in relativistic generalizations [15, 16] of the generalized Bogoyavlensky-Coxeter-Toda lattices. Integrability still follows from the simple counting argument, which ensures existence of exactly rank$G$ independent Ad-invariant integrals of motion on symplectic list of dimension $2\cdot\mathrm{rank}G$. The only extra question one can ask here is how to get the set of "minimal" integrals, and we demonstrate below, that they are still given by traces in fundamental representations, while their explicit form can be extracted from the coefficients of characteristic polynomial of the matrix in vector representation, using the co-multiplication rules for a group G.

We also demonstrate, that the integrable Toda systems on the appropriate symplectic leaves of non simply-laced simple groups can be obtained from the previous class just by folding of

the corresponding cluster variety. This is a straightforward procedure, described for the $\mathcal{X}$-submanifolds in [17] just as gluing of the corresponding Poisson graphs. We will show, that being applied to the Toda symplectic leaves of the simply-laced groups it gives rise immediately to the generalized Toda systems of the non-ADE series, preserving all elements of the construction - and the simplest way to get explicitly the expressions for the integrals of motion in cluster coordinates is still to use the Lax map.

Finally, we conjecture, that proposed scheme can be extended also to the affine case. The detailed study of this class goes far beyond the scope of this paper. However, we shall derive the shape of the spectral curves for the affine $\hat{D}$-Toda systems, and show that already for this simplest subclass of the Poisson submanifolds in affine groups of $\hat{D}$-type, the construction of [4] requires some modification.

2 Integrable system

It is easy [2] to see directly from the r-matrix Poisson bracket

$$\{g \underset{,}{\otimes} g\} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\,[r, g \otimes g] \tag{1}$$

that any two Ad-invariant functions on a simple Lie group G do Poisson-commute with each other. For any r-matrix $r \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, e.g. antisymmetric - given by

$$r = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} d_\alpha e_\alpha \wedge e_{\bar{\alpha}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} d_\alpha \left(e_\alpha \otimes e_{\bar{\alpha}} - e_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes e_\alpha\right) \tag{2}$$

(where $d_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha, \alpha)$, the sum is taken over the set of all positive roots Δ_+ and by $e_{\bar{\alpha}} = f_\alpha$ we denoted the corresponding negative roots, see Appendix A for more details about accepted notations), the Poisson bracket on G is defined by

$$\{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\} = -\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} d_\alpha \left(L_{e_\alpha}\mathcal{H}_1 L_{e_{\bar{\alpha}}}\mathcal{H}_2 - R_{e_\alpha}\mathcal{H}_1 R_{e_{\bar{\alpha}}}\mathcal{H}_2\right) \tag{3}$$

where for any $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ we denote by L_v (R_v) the corresponding left (right) vector field. Any Ad-invariant function $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies $L_v\mathcal{H} = -R_v\mathcal{H}$ and thus the bracket (3) of two such functions vanishes. The bracket (3) obviously vanishes even if the functions are defined not on the whole G, but on any Poisson Ad-invariant subvariety of G.

On a simple group there exists exactly rank G independent Ad-invariant functions: a possible basis of these functions is the set $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}$, where $i \in \Pi$ (the set of positive simple roots $\Pi \subset \Delta_+$)

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \mathrm{Tr}\ \pi_{\mu_i}(g) \tag{4}$$

and π_{μ_i} be the i-th fundamental representation of G with the highest weight $\langle \alpha_i, \mu_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ dual to α_i, $i \in \Pi$. These functions then define a completely integrable system on a symplectic leaf of dimension $2 \cdot \text{rank } G$, which for $G = SL(N)$ turns to be the relativistic Toda chain [15, 16], with the canonical Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{Tr}\left(g + g^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\exp(p_i) + \exp(-p_i)\right) \sqrt{1 + \exp(q_i - q_{i+1})} \sqrt{1 + \exp(q_{i-1} - q_i)} \qquad (5)$$

while more known canonical (non-relativistic) Toda system is recovered in the limit from the group $G = SL(N)$ to its Lie algebra (see, for example [3]).

It has been also shown [3, 4], that the most convenient way to construct the integrals of motion comes out of using the cluster $\mathcal{X}$-coordinates on the double Bruhat cells in Lie groups [7] and on the Poisson submanifolds in $G/\text{Ad}H$. The Poisson structure in these cluster coordinates can be then described in terms of the Poisson quiver, which can be obtained by the amalgamation procedure of Fock and Goncharov [17].

3 Simply-laced case

In the simply-laced case the Poisson quivers of the Toda symplectic leaves in $G/\text{Ad}H$ can be drawn just by "blowup" of the corresponding Dynkin diagrams, see fig. 1. On the left picture for the A-series such graph is just a ladder, constructed from the Dynkin chain, and the D- and E-series differ only by existence of a junction on the corresponding Dynkin diagram - and, correspondingly, on the ladder. The coordinates on the corresponding leaf are associated with the vertices of the graph, and the Poisson structure is defined in this coordinates by

$$\{z_I, z_J\} = \varepsilon_{IJ} z_I z_J, \qquad I, J = 1, \ldots, \#V \qquad (6)$$

where ε_{IJ} stays for the exchange matrix

$$\varepsilon_{IJ} = \#\text{arrows } (I \to J) - \#\text{arrows } (J \to I) \qquad (7)$$

of the Poisson quiver. In principle, each such graph defines a Poisson manifold $\mathbb{C}^{\#V}$ with logarithmically constant bracket (6).

It is seen from fig. 1, that such graphs for the Toda symplectic leaves of dimension $\#V = 2 \cdot \text{rank}G$ define the Poisson bracket, which can be conveniently written as (see [3])

$$\begin{gathered} \{y_i, x_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}} = C^{\mathfrak{g}}_{ij} y_i x_j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, \text{rank}G = N - 1 \\ \{x_i, x_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, \quad \{y_i, y_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0 \end{gathered} \qquad (8)$$



Figure 1: *Poisson graphs for the ADE-series as blowups of the corresponding Dynkin diagrams.*

for $\mathfrak{g} = A_{N-1}$, $\mathfrak{g} = D_{N-1}$ or $\mathfrak{g} = E_{N-1}$, where $C_{ij}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the corresponding Cartan matrix (e.g. for A_{N-1} it can be explicitly written in the form of (A.3)). Natural splitting of all variables $\{z_I\}$ from (6) as $\{z_I\} = \{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$ is useful only on symplectic leaves, and formulas (8) show, that they are easily expressed through the Darboux coordinates, as we will see below.

Moreover, it has been shown in [17], that the Poisson bracket (8) is just a restricted r-matrix bracket (1) on Lie group, if the group element is parameterized in the form

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} E_i H_i(x_i) E_{\bar{i}} H_i(y_i) \tag{9}$$

where (see Appendix A)

$$E_i = \exp(e_i), \qquad E_{\bar{i}} = \exp(e_{\bar{i}}), \qquad H_i(z) = \exp(h^i \log z) \tag{10}$$

are the exponentiated Chevalley generators, taken in the vector representation of G. It is easy to see, that the order of factors in (9) is inessential: many of them are equivalent up to a cyclic permutation (which remains intact all Ad-invariant functions), while the rest correspond to the same Poisson submanifolds, up to a cluster transformation (a sequence of mutations [6]). It has been shown in [2], that matrices in (1) play the role of the Lax operators for the corresponding integrable systems, so that the formulas (9) give their convenient parametrization in cluster coordinates [3, 4]. We are going to exploit this parametrization below in order to construct explicit formulas for the integrals of motion and study their properties.

In the A_{N-1} case the characteristic polynomial for the Lax operator (9)

$$\det\left(\mu + g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mu^j R_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \tag{11}$$

generates rank $SL(N) = N-1$ nontrivial ($R_0 = R_N = 1$ in the accepted normalization, and $R_1 = \mathrm{Tr}_V g^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{N-1}$, $R_{N-1} = \mathrm{Tr}_V g = \mathcal{H}_1$, see [3]) Poisson-commuting (w.r.t. the bracket (8)) integrals of motion $\{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_j\} = 0$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N-1$, which read

$$\mathcal{H}_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \prod_k (x_k y_k)^{-C^{-1}_{jk}} \cdot Z_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = R_{N-j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \tag{12}$$

where, for the A-series

$$Z_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{0 \le m_i \le \max(i, N-1-i)}^{m_j \ge m_{j\pm1} \ge m_{j\pm2} \ge \ldots} \sum_{m_i - 1 \le n_i \le m_i} \prod_i y_i^{m_i} x_i^{n_i} \tag{13}$$

are already polynomials with all unit coefficients. The nice structure of these formulas ((12) are cluster functions and the Laurent polynomials in fractional powers of the cluster variables) has elegant interpretation in terms of the quiver representation theory [14], however - to our knowledge - it has no generalization yet to the analogous formulas for the other groups, which we obtain below.

The coefficients of characteristic polynomial (11) in the $SL(N)$ case directly give the set of "minimal" integrals of motion, since $R_{N-j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mu_j} g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ with $j = 1, \ldots, N-1$ just correspond to the traces in all fundamental representations, labeled by the fundamental weights $(\alpha_i, \mu_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j \in \Pi$.

The true Darboux coordinates are related to the cluster variables $\{z_I\} = \{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$ by

$$x_i = \exp(-(\alpha_i \cdot q)), \qquad y_i = \exp((\alpha_i \cdot P) + (\alpha_i \cdot q)), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{14}$$

with the "long momentum"

$$P = p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \alpha_k \log\left(1 + \exp(\alpha_k \cdot q)\right) = p + \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathrm{Li}_2\left(-\exp(\alpha_k \cdot q)\right) \right) \tag{15}$$

where $(N-1)$-vectors q, p, denote the canonical coordinate and momentum in the center of mass frame. Substituting (14) into the expression $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_{N-1} = R_1 + R_{N-1}$ one gets the canonical relativistic Toda Hamiltonian (5), where one has to drop off the square roots with α_0 and α_N, i.e. just to replace $\sqrt{1 + \exp(q_0 - q_1)}$ and $\sqrt{1 + \exp(q_N - q_{N+1})}$ by unities.

For the other simply-laced groups (of D- and E- series) the Lax operator (9) gives rise instead of (11) an equation

$$\det\left(\mu + g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\dim V} \mu^j R_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \tag{16}$$



Figure 2: *Construction of a Poisson quiver for the Toda symplectic leaf in* $D_4 = SO(8)$.

for a polynomials of higher power in μ, since $\dim V >$ rank $G + 1$ in contrast to the $SL(N)$ groups. All coefficients of this equation are still the integrals of motion, being in the involution $\{R_i, R_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ w.r.t. the Poisson bracket (8) for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, \dim V - 1$ ($R_0 = R_{\dim V} = 1$), just because they are Ad-invariant functions, and the bracket (8) is equivalent to (1).

However, they are now neither all independent, nor minimal - except for the few ones, as we see below. In order to find the full set of minimal integrals of motion, one should use the relations between the wedge powers $\wedge^j V$ of the vector representation, corresponding to the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial (16) with the fundamental representations of G, this can be done, for example, with the help of [18, 19]: it is more instructive to demonstrate this on particular examples, and we take one at a time for D and E cases.

3.1 D_4 Toda and triality

This is perhaps the simplest case out of common $SL(N)$ Toda systems, and it is also rather interesting to observe the famous triality, coming from $\mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetry of the Dynkin diagram. It predicts existence of three "similar" minimal integrals of motion, which comes in conflict with naive analysis of the characteristic polynomial equation (16).

First, we present at fig. 2 the amalgamation construction of [17] for the $SO(8)$-Toda symplectic leaf. As was announced it results in blow-up of a "sicilian" Dynkin diagram of D_4, and the corresponding Poisson graph is glued from eight constituents - cluster seeds, each of which corresponds to positive ($i \in \Pi$) or negative ($i \in \bar{\Pi}$) simple root (see notations in Appendix A). Literally picture from fig. 2 describes a symplectic leaf, given by the Coxeter-Toda word $u = 1\bar{1}2\bar{2}3\bar{3}4\bar{4}$, where bars denote negative roots, and numbers label the levels from up to down. It means, in particular, that triality should permute the variables, corresponding to the first, third and fourth roots.

For the Lax operator (9) one considers therefore the product

$$\begin{gathered} g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \underbrace{E_1 X_1 E_{\bar{1}} Y_1}_{g_1} \cdot \underbrace{E_2 X_2 E_{\bar{2}} Y_2}_{g_2} \cdot \underbrace{E_3 X_3 E_{\bar{3}} Y_3}_{g_3} \cdot \underbrace{E_4 X_4 E_{\bar{4}} Y_4}_{g_4} \\ Y_i = H_i(y_i), \quad X_i = H_i(x_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4 \end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

of the matrices in 8-dimensional vector representation of $SO(8)$, where one can take

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 = \mathbb{I} + e_{12} - e_{78}, \quad E_2 = \mathbb{I} + e_{23} - e_{67}, \\ E_3 = \mathbb{I} + e_{34} - e_{56}, \quad E_4 = \mathbb{I} + e_{35} - e_{46} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

(and $E_{\bar{i}} = E_i^{\mathrm{tr}}$), with $\mathbb{I}$ being the identity matrix, while e_{ij} denotes the matrix $\|e_{ij}\|_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$ with the only unit element on intersection of i-th row with j-th column. The parametrization of the Lax operator by cluster coordinates is induced through the Cartan elements, which we take as usual as $H_i(z) = \exp(h^i \log z)$ (see notations in Appendix A), $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(z) = \mathrm{diag}\{z, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1/z\},\ H_2(z) = \mathrm{diag}\{z, z, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1/z, 1/z\}, \\ H_3(z) = \mathrm{diag}\{\sqrt{z}, \sqrt{z}, \sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}, \sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}\}, \\ H_4(z) = \mathrm{diag}\{\sqrt{z}, \sqrt{z}, \sqrt{z}, \sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}, 1/\sqrt{z}\} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The characteristic polynomial for (17) has the form

$$\det\left(g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mu\right) = \mu^8 + \sum_{j=1}^{7} \mu^j R_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + 1 \tag{20}$$

and gives naively seven functions in the involution. However, it is easy to see, that there are only four independent coefficients, and moreover, they can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 = R_7 = \mathcal{H}_1,\ R_2 = R_6 = \mathcal{H}_2, \\ R_3 = R_5 = \mathcal{H}_3\mathcal{H}_4 - \mathcal{H}_1, \\ R_4 = \mathcal{H}_3^2 + \mathcal{H}_4^2 - 2R_2 - 2 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

through the traces in four fundamental representations $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$,

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_k} g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \qquad k = 1, \ldots, 4 \tag{22}$$

Relations (21) correspond exactly to the following co-multiplication rules for D_4 (see [18, 19]):

$$\begin{aligned} V = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1),\ \wedge^2 V = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \\ \wedge^3 V = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_4) = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_4) - V, \\ \wedge^4 V = V(2\boldsymbol{\mu}_3) + V(2\boldsymbol{\mu}_4) = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3) + V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_4) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_4) - 2V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - 2V_0 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where by V_0 and V we have denoted the trivial and 8-dimensional vector representations correspondingly.



Figure 3: *Construction of a Poisson graph for the Toda symplectic leaf in E_6.*

Formulas (21) do the last piece of the job: they give the relation between the easily computable coefficients of the characteristic polynomials and the set of minimal integrals of motion. For (22) with $k = 1, 3, 4$ one can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{1}{y_1x_1y_2x_2\sqrt{y_3x_3}\sqrt{y_4x_4}}(1 + y_1 + y_1x_1 + y_1x_1y_2 + y_1x_1y_2x_2 + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_3 + \\ + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_4 + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_3x_3 + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_4x_4 + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_3y_4 + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_3x_3y_4 + \\ + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_4x_4y_3 + y_1x_1y_2x_2y_3x_3y_4x_4 + y_1x_1y_2^2x_2y_3x_3y_4x_4 + y_1x_1y_2^2x_2^2y_3x_3y_4x_4 + \\ + y_1^2x_1y_2^2x_2^2y_3x_3y_4x_4 + y_1^2x_1^2y_2^2x_2^2y_3x_3y_4x_4) = \\ = \mathfrak{h}(x_1, y_1|x_3, y_3; x_4, y_4|x_2, y_2)\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_3 = \mathfrak{h}(x_3, y_3|x_1, y_1; x_4, y_4|x_2, y_2) \\ \mathcal{H}_4 = \mathfrak{h}(x_4, y_4|x_1, y_1; x_3, y_3|x_2, y_2)\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

as predicted by triality (notice, that $\mathfrak{h}(\bullet|a, b; \alpha, \beta|\bullet) = \mathfrak{h}(\bullet|\alpha, \beta; a, b|\bullet)$ is symmetric w.r.t. permutation of the corresponding pairs of variables). The explicit formula (B.1) for $\mathcal{H}_2 = R_2 = \mathrm{Tr}\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ can be found in Appendix B. Let us point out, that expressions for the integrals of motion still have the form of (12), where the polynomials have all unit coefficients, which is a distinguishing feature of the simply-laced case. The canonical Hamiltonian in this case should be $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_3 + \mathcal{H}_4$.

3.2 E_6 Toda chain

Let us now turn to the simplest example of exceptional series. In the E_6 case instead of (18) one can use the matrices in the 27-dimensional vector representations, for example

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \mathbb{I} + e_{1,2} + e_{11,13} + e_{14,16} + e_{17,18} + e_{19,20} + e_{21,22};\\
E_2 &= \mathbb{I} + e_{4,5} + e_{6,7} + e_{8,10} + e_{19,21} + e_{20,22} + e_{23,24};\\
E_3 &= \mathbb{I} + e_{2,3} + e_{9,11} + e_{12,14} + e_{15,17} + e_{20,23} + e_{22,24};\\
E_4 &= \mathbb{I} + e_{3,4} + e_{7,9} + e_{10,12} + e_{17,19} + e_{18,20} + e_{24,25};\\
E_5 &= \mathbb{I} + e_{4,6} + e_{5,7} + e_{12,15} + e_{14,17} + e_{16,18} + e_{25,26};\\
E_6 &= \mathbb{I} + e_{6,8} + e_{7,10} + e_{9,12} + e_{11,14} + e_{13,16} + e_{26,27}
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

together with the transposed matrices for $E_{\bar{j}}$, $j = 1, \ldots, 6$. The appropriate basis for the Cartan generators $H_i = \exp(h^i \log z)$, $\quad i = 1, .., 6$, is given by diagonal matices:

$$\begin{aligned}
h^1 &= \{\frac{4}{3}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}}_{11}, -\frac{2}{3}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}}_{2}, \underbrace{-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}}_{3}, \underbrace{-\frac{2}{3}}_{6}\}, \quad h^2 = \{\underbrace{1}_{4}, \underbrace{0, 1}_{2}, \underbrace{0}_{12}, \underbrace{-1}_{2}, 0, \underbrace{-1}_{4}\},\\
h^3 &= \{\underbrace{\frac{5}{3}}_{2}, \underbrace{\frac{2}{3}}_{8}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{3}}_{2}, \frac{2}{3}\underbrace{-\frac{1}{3}}_{7}, -\underbrace{\frac{4}{3}}_{5}\}, \quad h^4 = \{\underbrace{2}_{3}, \underbrace{1}_{5}, 0, 1, \underbrace{0}_{8}, \underbrace{-1}_{6}, \underbrace{-2}_{3}\},\\
h^5 &= \{\underbrace{\frac{4}{3}}_{5}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}}_{9}, -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \underbrace{-\frac{2}{3}}_{9}, \underbrace{-\frac{5}{3}}_{2}\}, \quad h^6 = \{\underbrace{\frac{2}{3}}_{7}, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}_{3}, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{3}}_{13}, -\frac{4}{3}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and multiplicities under the figure brackets denote the number of corresponding consequent combinations (if it is not unit), their sum is equal to the dimension of vector representation.

The Lax equation (16) now reads

$$\det\left(g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mu\right) = \mu^{27} + \sum_{j=1}^{26} \mu^j R_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + 1 \tag{28}$$



Figure 4: *Dynkin diagram for E_6.*

and part of the coefficients of this characteristic polynomial can be identified immediately as

$$\begin{gathered} R_{26} = \mathrm{Tr} g = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{H}_1, \\ R_1 = \mathrm{Tr} g^{-1} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_6} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{H}_6, \\ R_{25} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Tr} g^2 - (\mathrm{Tr} g)^2\right) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_3} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{H}_3, \\ R_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Tr} g^{-2} - (\mathrm{Tr} g^{-1})^2\right) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_5} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{H}_5, \\ R_4 = R_{24} = \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr} g^3 + \frac{1}{6}(\mathrm{Tr} g)^3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr} g^2 \mathrm{Tr} g = \\ = \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr} g^{-3} + \frac{1}{6}(\mathrm{Tr} g^{-1})^3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr} g^{-2}\mathrm{Tr} g^{-1} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_4} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{H}_4 \end{gathered} \tag{29}$$

where the highest weights $\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_i\}$ correspond to the fundamental representations in the vertices of the Dynkin diagram, as on fig. 4. These formulas are again the consequences of the multiplication rules for the vector $V = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)$ and its dual $V^* = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)$ representations

$$V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3) = \wedge^2 V, \;\; V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_5) = \wedge^2 V^*, \;\; V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_4) = \wedge^3 V = \wedge^3 V^* \tag{30}$$

and for the 27×27 matrices it is already technically easier to compute the traces of their lower powers instead of the characteristic polynomial, whose coefficients can be then calculated using the Newton formulas. However, expressions (28) give directly only five integrals of motion out of six, which are necessary to ensure complete integrability: the vanishing of their Poisson bracket, determined by blowup of the Dynkin diagram from fig. 4.

To find the last "minimal" integral of motion let us use, that

$$\wedge^4 V = V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_5) \tag{31}$$

and the corresponding invariant function is

$$\begin{gathered} R_{23} = \frac{1}{24}(\mathrm{Tr} g)^4 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Tr} g^2)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\mathrm{Tr} g^3)\mathrm{Tr} g - \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr} g^4 - \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr} g^2 (\mathrm{Tr} g)^2 = \\ = \mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^4 V} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2+\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \end{gathered} \tag{32}$$

Using the following co-multiplication rules for E_6

$$\begin{gathered} V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)=V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2+\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3+\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1+\boldsymbol{\mu}_2)+V(2\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\\ V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3)\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)=V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3+\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1+\boldsymbol{\mu}_2)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\\ V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)=V(2\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1) \end{gathered} \tag{33}$$

one gets, that

$$V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)=V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2+\boldsymbol{\mu}_5)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3)\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)+V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_6)\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\mu}_6) \tag{34}$$

In terms of Ad-invariant functions it leads to the following relation

$$\mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_5+\mathcal{H}_5+\mathcal{H}_1=R_{23}+\mathcal{H}_3\mathcal{H}_6+\mathcal{H}_6^2 \tag{35}$$

which can be used to find

$$\mathcal{H}_2=\mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_2}g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=\frac{R_{23}+\mathcal{H}_3\mathcal{H}_6+\mathcal{H}_6^2-\mathcal{H}_1}{\mathcal{H}_5}-1 \tag{36}$$

in terms of already known (29) and (32).

4 Toda series for non simply-laced groups

For the simple Lie groups of non ADE types one can construct the corresponding Toda lattices by folding of the integrable systems, corresponding to the simply-laced groups. The folding procedure has been proposed in [17] for construction of the corresponding $\mathcal{X}$-cluster varieties, and it results in introducing of cluster coordinates on the symplectic leaves in non simply-laced groups, whose Poisson quivers arise from the gluing of certain vertices for the Poisson graphs of symplectic leaves for the simply-laced groups.

For the non simply laced groups the cluster structure is generally described by enlarged set of data [17], which includes multipliers - the set of positive integers, all of them were equal to unities for the symplectic leaves in the ADE cases, while generally these multipliers $d_i=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)$ are determined by the lengths of the simple roots. Therefore, one has to distinguish here between the Cartan matrix C (or related with it cluster function ϵ) and its symmetrization B (related with the skew-symmetric Poisson tensor $\hat{\epsilon}$), which gives directly the Poisson structure. In this section we construct the Poisson graphs for the Coxeter-Toda symplectic leaves, which encode the Poisson structures, given by $\hat{\epsilon}$, whose matrix elements are not necessarily integers, in such cases we are going to use the dashed lines and put the corresponding values on them explicitly.

On the level of Dynkin diagrams this folding be depicted as on fig. 5, which corresponds to the following embedding:



Figure 5: *Folding of Dynkin diagrams.*

- $C_N \subset A_{2N-1}$, $N \geq 2$. The Dynkin diagram of A-series with odd number of vertices is folded twice, so that the simple root, corresponding to the middle vertex, remains untouched, while the other ones, not connected by a link on A-diagram, are pairwise summed.

- $B_N \subset D_{N+1}$, $N \geq 2$. Here all roots remain untouched by folding, except for two summed up, corresponding to the one-step ends of two links, growing out of the 3-valent vertex. Notice, that for $N = 2$ this case coincides with the previous one.

- Exceptional cases: $F_4 \subset D_5$ and $G_2 \subset B_3$. We shall consider below only the last one in sect. 4.3, remaining obtaining the F_4 system as an excercise for a reader.

The Poisson bracket (8) turns after folding into the bracket

$$\{y_i, x_j\}_{\mathfrak{g}} = B^{\mathfrak{g}}_{ij} y_i x_j, \qquad i, j = 1, \ldots, \text{rank } G \tag{37}$$

where $B^{\mathfrak{g}}_{ij} = \frac{1}{d_i d_j}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ is the symmetrized Cartan matrix for the non simply-laced case [20].

The rest to check is that after folding the integrals of motion of the original simply-laced Toda system turn into the integrals of motion of new integrable system, i.e. they are still in the involution w.r.t. the new Poisson structure, and one still have enough independent integrals of motion to ensure complete integrability. Counting of the number of integrals of motion is still very simple: each gluing of two vertices on a Dynkin diagram reduces rank of the group by one, i.e. reduces by one the total amount of the Ad-invariant functions. Simultaneously this corresponds to gluing two vertices on the Poisson quiver, i.e. reduces the dimension of the phase space by two.

The fact, that all integrals of motion are still in the involution is almost obvious from general reasoning: after folding one gets a symplectic leaf in the group, which is embedded as a subgroup into original simply-laced group. The Poisson structure on symplectic leaf is according to [17] a restriction of the r-matrix bracket (1), so that any two Ad-invariant functions are in the

involution. By construction this is the property of our integrals of motion, which is preserved after reduction, and taking into account proper counting one concludes, that we still have an integrable system. We are now going to illustrate this on particular examples.

4.1 $B_2 = C_2$ example

This case can be obtained by reduction of the $SL(4)$ relativistic Toda in the following way: one embeds $C_2 \subset A_3 = D_3$ (top left on fig. 5 for $N = 2$) by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= e'_1 + e'_3, \qquad e_2 = e'_2 \\ f_1 &= f'_1 + f'_3, \qquad f_2 = f'_2 \\ h_1 &= h'_1 + h'_3, \qquad h_2 = h'_2 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $\{e, f, h\}$ and $\{e', f', h'\}$ are the Chevalley bases of C_2 and A_3 correspondingly (see notations in Appendix A). An essential point here is that $C'_{13} = C'_{31} = 0$ for $SL(4)$, so that the generators (38) satisfy the canonical commutational relations (A.1) with the Cartan matrix

$$C = \|C_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

This Cartan matrix is already not symmetric, since $[h_1, e_2] = [h'_1 + h'_3, e'_2] = -2e'_2 = -2e_2$, while $[h_2, e_1] = [h_2, e'_1 + e'_3] = -e'_1 - e'_3 = -e_1$, but it can be symmetrized (see e.g. [20]) by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{40}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{ij}\| &= \|d_i B_{ij}\|, \qquad d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2} \\ \|B_{ij}\| &= \frac{1}{d_i d_j} \|(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

which is just matrix of the normalized scalar products of two simple roots for $B_2 = C_2$.

The Poisson structure on symplectic leaves of B_2 of dimension $2 \cdot \mathrm{rank} = 4$ can be obtained from the induced folding [17] of the Coxeter-Toda cluster variety in $A_3 = SL(4)$ of dimension 6. The most transparent way to get it comes from gluing the corresponding vertices on the Poisson graph, the corresponding procedure is depicted on fig. 6. It results in four dimensional



Figure 6: *Construction of a graph for the Toda symplectic leave in C_2 from $SL(4)$. Gluing the left picture one gets the graph in the middle with the products x_1x_3 and y_1y_3 in the upper vertices, which become x_1^2 and y_1^2 after identification $x_1 = x_3$ and $y_1 = y_3$ corresponding to (38). It means that new variables are coordinates on the cluster variety, corresponding to the right graph, with the exchange matrix generated by B from (41).*

symplectic leaf in B_2 or C_2, where the cluster variables have the following the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{gathered}\{y_i, x_j\}_{B_2} = B_{ij} y_i x_j, \quad i,j = 1,2 \\ \{x_i, x_j\}_{B_2} = \{y_i, y_j\}_{B_2} = 0\end{gathered} \tag{42}$$

i.e. encoded by matrix from (41).

The Lax operator

$$\begin{gathered}g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \simeq \underbrace{H_1(y_1)E_1H_1(x_1)E_{\bar{1}}}_{g_1'} \cdot \underbrace{H_2(y_2)E_2H_2(x_2)E_{\bar{2}}}_{g_2'} \cdot \underbrace{H_3(y_1)E_3H_3(x_1)E_{\bar{3}}}_{g_3'} \simeq \\ \simeq \underbrace{H_1(y_1)H_3(y_1)E_1E_3 \cdot H_1(x_1)H_3(x_1)E_{\bar{1}}E_{\bar{3}}}_{g_1} \cdot \underbrace{H_2(y_2)E_2H_2(x_2)E_{\bar{2}}}_{g_2}\end{gathered} \tag{43}$$

is just obtained by identification $x_1 = x_3$ and $y_1 = y_3$ in the formula (9) for $SL(4)$, here $\simeq$ as usual means, that we consider equalities modulo cyclic permutation, which does not influence Ad-invariant functions. For the characteristic polynomial of (43) one gets

$$\det\left(\mu + g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\right) = \mu^4 + \mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\mu^3 + \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\mu^2 + \mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\mu + 1 \tag{44}$$

with only two independent integrals of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{x_1y_1\sqrt{x_2}\sqrt{y_2}}(y_1^2y_2x_1^2x_2 + y_1^2y_2x_1x_2 + y_1y_2x_1x_2 + y_1y_2x_1 + y_1x_1 + y_1 + 1) \\ \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{x_1y_1x_2y_2}(y_1^2y_2^2x_1^2x_2^2 + y_1^2y_2^2x_1^2x_2 + y_1^2y_2x_1^2x_2 + 2y_1^2y_2x_1x_2 + y_1^2y_2x_2 + \\ &\qquad 2y_1y_2x_1x_2 + 2y_1y_2x_2 + y_2x_2 + y_2 + 1)\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

It is easy to check, that $\{\mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\}_B = 0$, as should follow from the proposed folding construction.

The Darboux coordinates in this case are given by modification of the standard simply-laced formulas (14)

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 = e^{-q_1}, \quad x_2 = e^{q_1-q_2} \\ y_1 = e^{p_1+q_1} f_1(q), \quad y_2 = e^{p_2-p_1+q_2-q_1} f_2(q) \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

with the "long momentum" (15), generated by

$$S(q) = \sum_{k=1,2} \frac{1}{d_k} \mathrm{Li}_2\left(-\exp(\alpha_k \cdot q)\right) = \mathrm{Li}_2\left(-e^{q_1}\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{Li}_2\left(-e^{q_2-q_1}\right) \tag{47}$$

i.e. $f_i = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ in (46). In these variables the first Hamiltonian in (45) becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \left(e^{\frac{p_1+p_2}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_1+p_2}{2}}\right)\sqrt{1+e^{q_1}} + \left(e^{\frac{p_1-p_2}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_1-p_2}{2}}\right)\sqrt{1+e^{q_1}}\sqrt{1+e^{q_2-q_1}} \tag{48}$$

In the limit to Lie algebra this turns into

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \approx \left(\frac{p_1+p_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p_1-p_2}{2}\right)^2 + 2e^{q_1} + e^{q_2-q_1} = \tfrac{1}{2}(p_1^2+p_2^2) + 2e^{q_1} + e^{q_2-q_1} \tag{49}$$

the Hamiltonian of $B_2 = C_2$ open Toda lattice. This procedure can be performed for the other groups as well, but we remain it to a reader as an exercise.

4.2 B_3 versus C_3 systems



Figure 7: *Construction of a graph for $B_3 = SO(7)$ by reduction from D_4.*

In this example one considers the reduction from D_4 (see fig. 7), corresponding to gluing two from three vertices with further identification of the cluster variables, for example

$$x_3 = x_4, \quad y_3 = y_4 \tag{50}$$

It gives rise to explicit formulas for the integrals of motion: two of them are obviously constructed from the function (24), these are

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{1}{y_1x_1y_2x_2y_3x_3}(y_3^2y_2^2y_1^2x_3^2x_2^2x_1^2 + y_3^2y_2^2y_1^2x_3^2x_2^2x_1 + y_3^2y_2^2y_1x_3^2x_2^2x_1 + y_3^2y_2^2y_1x_3^2x_2x_1 + y_3^2y_2y_1x_3^2x_2x_1 + 2y_3^2y_2y_1x_3x_2x_1 + y_3^2y_2y_1x_2x_1 + 2y_3y_2y_1x_3x_2x_1 + 2y_3y_2y_1x_2x_1 + y_2y_1x_2x_1 + y_2y_1x_1 + y_1x_1 + y_1 + 1) = \mathfrak{h}(x_1, y_1|x_3, y_3; x_3, y_3|x_2, y_2) \tag{51}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \frac{1}{y_3^{3/2}x_3^{3/2}y_2x_2\sqrt{y_1x_1}}(y_3^3y_2^2y_1x_3^3x_2^2x_1 + y_3^3y_2^2y_1x_3^2x_2^2x_1 + y_3^2y_2^2y_1x_3^2x_2^2x_1 + y_3^2y_2^2y_1x_3^2x_2x_1 + y_3^2y_2y_1x_3^2x_2x_1 + y_3^2y_2y_1x_3^2x_2 + y_3^2y_2y_1x_3x_2x_1 + y_3^2y_2y_1x_3x_2 + y_3^2y_2x_3^2x_2 + y_3y_2y_1x_3x_2x_1 + y_3^2y_2x_3x_2 + y_3y_2y_1x_3x_2 + y_3y_2x_3x_2 + y_3y_2x_3 + y_3x_3 + y_3 + 1) = \mathfrak{h}(x_3, y_3|x_1, y_1; x_3, y_3|x_2, y_2) \tag{52}$$

The third integral, which corresponds to the middle vertex on the Dynkin diagram is obtained by the same procedure, and its explicit form is presented in Appendix B, formula (B.3). These integrals of motion are in involution with respect to the Poisson structure, read from the right graph at fig. 7.



Figure 8: *Construction of a graph for* $C_3 = SP(7)$.

In the C_3 case the reduction comes instead from the $A_5 = SL(6)$ theory, where it reads

$$x_1 = x_5, \quad x_2 = x_4, \quad y_1 = y_5, \quad y_2 = y_4 \tag{53}$$

which corresponds to embedding

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= e'_1 + e'_5, \qquad f_1 = f'_1 + f'_5 \\ e_2 &= e'_2 + e'_4, \qquad f_2 = f'_2 + f'_4 \\ e_3 &= e'_3 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Integrals of motion are directly found from the coefficients of characteristic polynomial, so that the simplest one is:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_5 = \frac{1}{y_1 y_2 \sqrt{y_3} x_1 x_2 \sqrt{x_3}} (y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 + y_1 y_2 y_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 + y_1 y_2 y_3 x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 x_1 + y_1 x_1 + y_1 + 1) \tag{55}$$

The explicit form for second and third integrals of motion is presented in Appendix B, formula (B.4)

4.3 Toda system for G_2 group



Figure 9: *Construction of the Poisson quiver for G_2.*

Here one can use further reduction compare to what has been done in the B_3 case, or just put in the formulas for D_4:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x_3 = x_4, \\ y_1 &= y_3 = y_4 \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

in the D_4 case. The resulting Poisson quiver (see fig. 9) corresponds to the right matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = D \cdot B \tag{57}$$

in the symmetrization decomposition of the Cartan matric for G_2.

This finally results in the Toda like integrable system with two integrals of motion

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{1}{y_1^2y_2x_1^2x_2}(y_1^4y_2^2x_1^4x_2^2 + y_1^4y_2^2x_1^3x_2^2 + y_1^3y_2^2x_1^3x_2^2 + y_1^3y_2^2x_1^3x_2 + y_1^3y_2x_1^3x_2 + 2y_1^3y_2x_1^2x_2 + \\ + y_1^3y_2x_1x_2 + 2y_1^2y_2x_1^2x_2 + 2y_1^2y_2x_1x_2 + y_1y_2x_1x_2 + y_1y_2x_1 + y_1x_1 + y_1 + 1) \\ \mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{y_1^3y_2^2x_1^3x_2^2}(y_1^6y_2^4x_1^6x_2^4 + y_1^6y_2^4x_1^6x_2^3 + y_1^6y_2^3x_1^6x_2^3 + 3y_1^6y_2^3x_1^5x_2^3 + 3y_1^6y_2^3x_1^4x_2^3 + 3y_1^5y_2^3x_1^5x_2^3 + \\ + y_1^6y_2^3x_1^3x_2^3 + 6y_1^5y_2^3x_1^4x_2^3 + 3y_1^5y_2^3x_1^3x_2^3 + 3y_1^4y_2^3x_1^4x_2^3 + 3y_1^4y_2^3x_1^4x_2^2 + 3y_1^4y_2^3x_1^3x_2^3 + 3y_1^4y_2^3x_1^3x_2^2 + \\ + 3y_1^4y_2^2x_1^4x_2^2 + y_1^3y_2^3x_1^3x_2^3 + 6y_1^4y_2^2x_1^3x_2^2 + 2y_1^3y_2^3x_1^3x_2^2 + 3y_1^4y_2^2x_1^2x_2^2 + y_1^3y_2^3x_1^3x_2 + 4y_1^3y_2^2x_1^3x_2^2 + \\ + 2y_1^3y_2^2x_1^3x_2 + 6y_1^3y_2^2x_1^2x_2^2 + 3y_1^3y_2^2x_1^2x_2 + y_1^3y_2x_1^3x_2 + 3y_1^2y_2^2x_1^2x_2^2 + 3y_1^3y_2x_1^2x_2 + 3y_1^2y_2^2x_1^2x_2 + \\ + 3y_1^3y_2x_1x_2 + 3y_1^2y_2x_1^2x_2 + y_1^3y_2x_2 + 6y_1^2y_2x_1x_2 + 3y_1^2y_2x_2 + 3y_1y_2x_1x_2 + \\ 3y_1y_2x_2 + y_2x_2 + y_2 + 1)\end{gathered} \tag{58}$$

which are in involution w.r.t. the Poisson structure given by right graph from fig. 9.

5 Affine Lie groups

The cluster construction of symplectic leaves in simple Lie groups has nice generalization to the affine $\hat{A}$-series [3, 4], which gives rise, in addition with affine Toda chains, to a large class of integrable models, coinciding with recently described by Goncharov and Kenyon [5] in terms of the dimer models on bipartite graphs on two-dimensional torus. The new important features of the affine generalization are:

- the Poisson submanifolds are naturally constructed only for the *co-extended* affine groups $\widehat{G}^\sharp = \widehat{G} \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ (in contrast to more common their central extension $\widehat{G} \ltimes \mathbb{C}^\times$), see [20], with final projection to the elements with trivial co-extension. In practice one has to extend the Cartan subalgebra by the gradation or derivative (in spectral parameter) operator $h^0 = \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}$, which has nontrivial commutational relations with other generators of Lie algebra, or to add its exponent $T_z = z^{\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}}$ with $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ to the Cartan subgroup of group $\widehat{G}$. For the groups $\widehat{PGL}^\sharp(N)$ the Poisson submanifolds are then labeled by the cyclically irreducible elements of the co-extended double Weyl group, the simple roots for this group can be identified with the set $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, with the Dynkin diagram given by a closed necklace with N vertices, and its co-extension adds a discrete rotational automorphism Λ of this diagram, satisfying $\Lambda^N = 1$, see [4];

- the Lax operators, constructed as in (9) taking products, parameterized by words in co-

extended double Weyl group, depend on spectral parameter, introduced in a natural way by taking extra affine root generators in the evaluation representation. The characteristic polynomial equation gives rise to a spectral curve, embedded in $C^\times \times C^\times$, where the coefficients are naively defined ambiguously, but certain invariant combinations of them still produce the full set of integrals of motion.

The detailed investigation of these issues for other than $\hat{A}$-type affine Lie groups and their connection with dimer models go beyond the scope of this paper. However, we are going now to present the results for the spectral curves of affine Toda chains of $\widehat{D}_N$ family, and to discuss in detail a particular example of $\widehat{D_4}$ relativistic Toda model, which demonstrates, that generalization in fact works in almost straightforward way.

5.1 $\hat{D}_4$ example



Figure 10: *Blowup of Dynkin diagram and corresponding Poisson quiver in $\hat{D}_4$. It can be constructed from gluing Poisson subgroups, corresponding to the simple roots, just in the same way, as on fig. 2.*

For the Poisson submanifolds in affine Lie groups the Poisson structure is degenerate. In the case of Toda submanifold in the affine $\widehat{D_4}$ it can be viewed again as a blowup of the corresponding Dynkin diagram (see fig.10), and it is easy to see, that

$$\mathcal{C} = x_0 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 = (y_0 y_1 y_2^2 y_3 y_4)^{-1} \tag{59}$$

is a Casimir function. The last equality here can be interpreted as condition of trivial total co-extension, already mentioned above and arising here with a slight modification from [3, 4].

For the co-extended $\widehat{D_4}^\sharp$ one can choose the following basis of the generators:

$$\begin{gathered} \hat{H}_i(z) = H_i(z) T_{z^{\gamma_i}}, \ \text{ where } \ \gamma_{1,3,4} = 1 \ \text{ and } \ \gamma_2 = 2 \\ \hat{H}_0(z) = T_z = z^{\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}} = \exp\left(\log z \cdot \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right) \end{gathered} \tag{60}$$

for the Cartan part, where T_z is an operator of (multiplicative) translation, with nontrivial exchange relations $T_z f(\lambda) = f(z\lambda)T_z$ with each λ-depended extra affine element, and

$$\begin{gathered} E_i = \exp(e_i), \qquad E_{\bar{i}} = \exp(e_{\bar{i}}), \qquad i, \bar{i} = 1, \ldots, N = 4 \\ E_0(\lambda) = \exp\left(\lambda e_{\bar{0}}\right), \; E_{\bar{0}}(\lambda) = \exp\left(\lambda^{-1} e_0\right) \end{gathered} \tag{61}$$

for the exponentiated simple root generators. An arbitrary element of $\hat{D_4}^{\sharp}$ can be constructed by taking all possible products of generators (60) and (61).

The additional affine simple root generator corresponds to the highest root of D_4, and can be found explicitly, using (18):

$$\begin{gathered} e_0 = [[[[e_1, e_2], e_3], e_4] e_2] = [[[[E_1, E_2], E_3], E_4] E_2], \qquad e_{\bar{0}} = e_0^{\mathrm{tr}} \\ E_0(\lambda) = \mathbb{I} + \lambda(e_{71} - e_{82}), \qquad E_{\bar{0}}(\lambda) = \mathbb{I} + \lambda^{-1}(e_{17} - e_{28}) \end{gathered} \tag{62}$$

which follows, for example, from decomposition of the highest root (see [19]).

Now similar to (9) formula defines

$$\begin{gathered} \hat{g} \simeq \prod_{i=0}^{4} \hat{H}_i(y_i) E_i \hat{H}_i(x_i) E_{\bar{i}} H_0(y_0) E_0(\lambda) H_0(x_0) E_{\bar{0}}(\lambda) \simeq \\ \simeq \prod_{i=0}^{4} H_i(y_i) E_i H_i(x_i) E_{\bar{i}} T_{y_1 x_1 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4} E_0\left(\lambda / x_0\right) E_{\bar{0}}(\lambda) \end{gathered} \tag{63}$$

an element of $\hat{D_4}^{\sharp}$, corresponding to the Toda Poisson submanifold. In order to diagonalize it one has to project to a trivial co-extension $T_{y_1 x_1 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4} = \mathbb{I}$ or $y_1 x_1 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 = 1$ (cf. now with right equality in (59)), giving rise to the spectral parameter dependent Lax operator

$$g(\lambda) = \prod_{i=0}^{4} H_i(y_i) E_i H_i(x_i) E_{\bar{i}} E_0\left(\lambda / x_0\right) E_{\bar{0}}(\lambda) \tag{64}$$

and reproducing the last equality in (59).

Vanishing of the characteristic polynomial for (64)

$$F(\lambda, \mu) = \det\left(\hat{g} + \mu \cdot \mathbb{I}\right) = 0 \tag{65}$$

gives the equation of the curve with

$$\begin{gathered} F(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{i,j \in \Delta} Q_{ij} \lambda^i \mu^j = \mu^8 + Q_{07}\mu^7 + \left(Q_{16}\lambda + Q_{06} + Q_{-16}\lambda^{-1}\right)\mu^6 + Q_{05}\mu^5 + \\ + \left(Q_{14}\lambda + Q_{04} + Q_{-14}\lambda^{-1}\right)\mu^4 + Q_{03}\mu^3 + \left(Q_{12}\lambda + Q_{02} + Q_{-12}\lambda^{-1}\right)\mu^2 + Q_{01}\mu + 1 \end{gathered} \tag{66}$$



Figure 11: *Newton polygon Δ for the spectral curve of $\hat{D}_4$. The set of its coefficients is symmetric under reflection w.r.t. the vertical line, passing through the center.*

whose Newton polygon is depicted at fig. 11. Its coefficients are defined ambiguously (see detailed discussion of this issue in [4, 3]) unless one redefines the spectral parameters and total normalization of $F(\lambda, \mu)$ to make three boundary coefficients to be unities.

For the equation (66) two such coefficients (at the leftmost and rightmost vertices) are already unit, so it is enough to rescale λ in order to make also unit one of the coefficients Q_{ij} for $i \neq 0$. It is easy to check, that then the rest Q_{ij} with $i \neq 0$ are all expressed through the Casimir function $\mathcal{C}$, while the coefficients Q_{0j} are integrals of motion, i.e.

$$\begin{gathered}\{Q_{1j}, x_k\} = \{Q_{-1j}, x_k\} = \{Q_{1j}, y_k\} = \{Q_{-1j}, y_k\} = 0, \quad \forall\ j, k \\ \{Q_{0i}, Q_{0j}\} = 0, \quad \forall\ i, j\end{gathered} \tag{67}$$

Due to the symmetry $Q_{0,j} = Q_{0,8-j}$, there are only four nontrivial independent integrals of motion in this system.

Using constraints (59) one can express

$$x_0 = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{x_1 x_3 x_4 x_2^2}, \quad y_0 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{C} y_1 y_3 y_4 y_2^2} \tag{68}$$

and the rest independent variables parameterize the symplectic manifold, isomorphic to symplectic leaf in simple D_4, whose Poisson structure is given by the quiver at right picture from fig.2. In terms of these independent variables one gets, for example, for

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{01} = \frac{1}{y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 \sqrt{y_3 x_3}\sqrt{y_4 x_4}}(1 + y_1 + y_1 x_1 + y_1 x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_3 + \\ + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_4 + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3 + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_4 x_4 + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_3 y_4 + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3 y_4 + \\ + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_4 x_4 y_3 + y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_1 x_1 y_2^2 x_2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_1 x_1 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \\ + y_1^2 x_1 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_1^2 x_1^2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}}(x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 y_1 + x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 y_1 + x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4))\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

In the limit $\mathcal{C} \to \infty$ one finds, that it exactly coincides with the first integral of motion (24) in relativistic Toda of simple group D_4. Similarly in this limit one gets for all $Q_{0,i} = R_i$, where R_i are coefficients of the characteristic polynomial (20).



Figure 12: *Newton polygons Δ for the spectral curves of $\hat{D}_n$-series. The set of its coefficients is symmetric under reflection w.r.t. the vertical line, passing through the center.*

6 Conclusion

In this paper we have extended the procedure of constructing of the integrable systems on the symplectic leaves in simple Lie groups of appropriate dimension beyond the well-known relativistic Toda chains, corresponding to A-series. We have shown, that for the simply-laced case the Poisson structures are still described by Poisson quivers, directly obtained by blowing up the corresponding Dynkin diagram, and proposed a procedure to get a set of minimal integrals of motion.

For the non simply-laced case the corresponding integrable models are obtained by folding of the ADE-systems. We have considered this procedure in detail on several important examples and found explicit formulas for their integrals of motion in cluster coordinates.

These integrals of motion generate continuous Hamiltonian flows in integrable models, which are linearized on (degenerate) Jacobians of their spectral curves. A separate interesting problem is to study the discrete flows in cluster integrable systems, which are generated by the cluster mutations [9, 12, 13, 4], and we postpone it for separate independent study.

The most interesting is the affine case, which already in the case of A-series gives rise to a large class of integrable systems, which can be obtained alternatively by studying dimer models on bipartite graphs on a two-dimensional torus [5]. The detailed study of this subject goes beyond the scope of this paper. However, it is demonstrated above on the example of $\hat{D}_4$, that the procedure of constructing the Poisson submanifolds in affine Lie groups proposed in [3, 4] works beyond the case of $\hat{A}$-series as well. We have also constructed the spectral curves for all $\hat{D}_N$ relativistic Toda chains, using the co-extended affine Lie group generators, their Newton polygons form a family, presented at fig. 12. The corresponding integrals of motion for the particular limit of the Casimir functions turn into corresponding formulas of the non-affine case. We hope to return to these issues elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

We are deeply indebted to V. Fock and E. Feigin for illuminating discussions. These results have been partially presented at *4th Workshop on Combinatorics of moduli spaces and cluster algebras* in Moscow, May 2014, workshop *Integrability and Isomonodromy in Mathematical Physics*, at the Lorentz center in Leiden, July 2014, conference *Integrability and Cluster Algebras: Geometry and Combinatorics*, ICERM, Brown University in Providence RI, August 2014, and *Summer School on Cluster Algebras in Mathematical Physics*, Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, September 2014. We would like to thank their organizers and participants - in particular M. Gekhtman, V. Ovsienko, N. Reshetikhin, M. Shapiro, H. Williams, M. Yamazaki and P. Zinn-Justin for the clarification of some important points, discussed above, and discussion of many related issues.

The work of O.K. was supported by RFBR grant 13-02-00457, the work of A.M. was supported by the RFBR grant 14-01-00547, by the joint Ukrainian-Russian RFBR project 14-01-90404, and by the Program of Support of Scientific Schools (NSh-1500.2014.2).

Appendix

A Some notations

Recall that given a Cartan matrix C_{ij}, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by $\{h_i | i \in \Pi\}$ and $\{e_i | i \in \Pi \cup \bar{\Pi}\}$ labeled by simple positive Π and negative $\bar{\Pi}$ roots [1], subject to the relations

$$\begin{gathered}[h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_i, e_j] = \mathrm{sign}(j) C_{ij} e_j, \quad [e_i, e_{-i}] = \mathrm{sign}(i) h_i, \\ (\mathrm{Ad}\ e_i)^{1-C_{ij}} e_j = 0 \text{ for } i + j \neq 0\end{gathered} \tag{A.1}$$

One can replace the set $\{h_i\}$ by the dual set $\{h^i\}$ by $h_i = \sum_{j \in \Pi} C_{ij} h^j$, so that

$$\begin{gathered}[h^i, h^j] = 0, \quad [h^i, e_j] = \mathrm{sign}(j) \delta^j_i e_j, \quad [e_i, e_{-i}] = \mathrm{sign}(i) C_{ij} h^j, \\ (\mathrm{Ad}\ e_i)^{1-C_{ij}} e_j = 0 \text{ for } i + j \neq 0\end{gathered} \tag{A.2}$$

For any $i \in \Pi \cup \bar{\Pi}$ one can introduce the group element $E_i = \exp(e_i)$ and a one-parameter subgroup $H_i(z) = \exp(\log z \cdot h^i)$. It is important for introducing the cluster coordinates on Poisson submanifolds in Lie groups to use the dual Cartan generators (A.2), this ensures the consistency of the whole construction.

For the $\mathfrak{g} = sl_N$ Lie algebras the Cartan matrix is just

$$C_{ij} \underset{sl_N}{=} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 2\delta_{ij} - \delta_{i+1,j} - \delta_{i,j+1} \tag{A.3}$$

for the positive simple roots $\alpha_i \in \Pi$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, \mathrm{rank}\ G = N - 1$. Generally

$$C_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \frac{2}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \frac{1}{d_i} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \tag{A.4}$$

and it is not symmetric for the non-simply-laced case, when roots have different lengths so that $d_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ are not necessarily unities. Instead of the Cartan matrix, which itself defines the Poisson structure on Toda symplectic leaves in the simply-laced cases, for the non-simply-laced groups one should use instead its symmetrization (see e.g. [20]), defined as

$$C_{ij} = d_i B_{ij}, \qquad B_{ij} = \frac{1}{d_i d_j} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j), \qquad i, j \in \Pi \tag{A.5}$$

The dual vectors

$$\langle \alpha_i, \mu_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \tag{A.6}$$

are the highest weights of the fundamental representations π_{μ_j}.

[1] To simplify them we extend h and C to negative values of indices, assuming that $h_i = h_{-i}$ and that $C_{-i,-j} = C_{ij}$ and $C_{ij} = 0$ if i and j have different signs, also using the notation $e_{-i} = e_{\bar{i}}$ for $i > 0$.

B Explicit formulas for the integrals of motion

Here we present a list of somewhat more complicated expressions, too long for the main text.

B.1 Simply-laced case.

D_4. The explicit form of the triality-invariant $R_2 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_2} g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{y_1 x_1 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4}(y_2^4 x_2^4 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^4 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4^2 + \\
+ y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + \\
y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4 x_4 + \\
y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + \\
+ y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + \\
+ y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + \\
+ y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4^2 + 2 y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ 2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + 2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 y_4 x_4 + 2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 x_4 + y_2^3 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4^2 + 4 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ 2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 + 2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 y_4 x_4 + 2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + 2 y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 + \\
+ y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2 y_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 + \\
+ y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_4 x_4 + y_2^2 x_2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 y_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_4 x_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 x_3 y_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 x_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 y_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_4 x_4 + \\
+ y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3 y_4 + y_2 x_2 y_3 y_4 x_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_4 + \\
+ y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3 + y_2 x_2 y_3 y_4 + y_2 x_2 y_4 x_4 + y_2 x_2 y_1 + y_2 x_2 y_3 + y_2 x_2 y_4 + y_2 x_2 + y_2 + 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

E_6**.** The explicit form of $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathrm{Tr}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_2} g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$, corresponding to the trace in 78-dimensional adjoint representation is

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{y_6 x_6 y_5^2 x_5^2 y_4^3 x_4^3 y_3^2 x_3^2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \tag{B.2}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is some polynomial of $y_i,\ x_i,\ i = 1,..,6$. The monomial factor in this expression is encoded by the matrix elements C_{2j} of E_6.

B.2 Non simply-laced

B_3**.** This integral of motion is obtained from the formula (B.1) by reduction $x_3 = x_4$ and $y_3 = y_4$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{y_3^2 x_3^2 y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1}(&y_2^4 x_2^4 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^4 x_3^4 + y_2^4 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^4 x_3^4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^4 x_3^4 + 2y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^4 x_3^3 + \\
&+ y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^4 x_3^4 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^4 x_3^2 + 2y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^3 x_3^3 + 2y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^4 x_3^3 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^4 x_3^4 + \\
&+ 2y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^3 x_3^2 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^4 x_3^2 + 2y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^3 x_3^3 + 2y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^4 x_3^3 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 + \\
&+ y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^3 x_3^3 + y_2^3 x_2^3 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^3 x_3^2 + \\
&+ y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^3 x_3^3 + 2y_2^3 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1^2 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + \\
&+ 4y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^3 x_3^2 + y_2^3 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^3 x_3 + 4y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + \\
&+ 4y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 + 2y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^2 x_2^2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 + y_2^2 x_2^2 y_3^2 x_3^2 + \\
&+ 2y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 + y_2^2 x_2 y_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2^2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 + y_2^2 x_2 y_3^2 x_3^2 + \\
&+ 2y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 x_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3^2 x_3^2 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3^2 + 2y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 x_3 + 2y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3^2 x_3 + \\
&+ y_2 x_2 y_3^2 x_3^2 + 2y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 y_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3^2 + 2y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 x_3 + 2y_2 x_2 y_3^2 x_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 x_1 + \\
&+ 2y_2 x_2 y_1 y_3 + y_2 x_2 y_3^2 + 2y_2 x_2 y_3 x_3 + y_2 x_2 y_1 + 2y_2 x_2 y_3 + y_2 x_2 + y_2 + 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

C_3. Two extra integrals of motion in this case - in addition to (55) are

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_4 = \frac{1}{y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3}(y_1^2 y_2^4 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^4 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3 +$$
$$y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^3 x_3 + y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3 x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3 + y_1 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 +$$
$$y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3 x_1 x_2^3 x_3 + y_1 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^3 x_3 + y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1 y_2^3 y_3 x_1 x_2^3 x_3 +$$
$$2y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2^3 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1 y_2^3 y_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 + 3y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 +$$
$$2y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_2 x_3 + y_1 y_2 y_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 + y_2^2 y_3 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1 y_2 y_3 x_1 x_2 +$$
$$y_1 y_2 y_3 x_2 x_3 + y_2^2 y_3 x_2 x_3 + y_1 y_2 y_3 x_2 + y_1 y_2 x_1 x_2 + y_2 y_3 x_2 x_3 + y_1 y_2 x_2 + y_2 y_3 x_2 + y_2 x_2 + y_2 + 1) \tag{B.4}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \frac{1}{y_1 y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{3/2}}(y_1^2 y_2^4 y_3^3 x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^3 + y_1^2 y_2^4 y_3^3 x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^4 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 + 2y_1^2 y_2^4 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 +$$
$$y_1^2 y_2^4 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 + 2y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 + 2y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 + 2y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 + 2y_1^2 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 +$$
$$y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 + 2y_1 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + 2y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 + 2y_1 y_2^3 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 +$$
$$2y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_2^2 x_3 +$$
$$2y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3 x_2^2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2^2 y_3^2 x_2^2 x_3 +$$
$$2y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + y_2^2 y_3^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 + 2y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_2^2 x_3 + y_2^2 y_3^2 x_2^2 x_3 +$$
$$2y_1 y_2^2 y_3 x_2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2 y_3 x_1 x_2 x_3 + y_2^2 y_3 x_2^2 x_3 + 2y_1 y_2 y_3 x_2 x_3 +$$
$$2y_2^2 y_3 x_2 x_3 + y_2^2 y_3 x_3 + 2y_2 y_3 x_2 x_3 + 2y_2 y_3 x_3 + y_3 x_3 + y_3 + 1) \tag{B.5}$$

References

[1] A. Reiman and M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Integrable systems*, 2003.

[2] V. V. Fock and A. Marshakov, *A Note on Quantum Groups and Relativistic Toda Theory*, Nucl.Phys. **56B** (Proc. Suppl.) (1997) 208-214.

[3] A. Marshakov, *Lie Groups, Cluster Variables and Integrable Systems*, J. Geom. Phys. 67 (2013) 1636, `arXiv:1207.1869 [hep-th]`.

[4] V. V. Fock and A. Marshakov, *Loop Groups, Clusters, Dimers and Integrable Systems*, `arXiv:1401.1606`

[5] A. Goncharov and R. Kenyon, *Dimers and cluster integrable systems*, `arXiv:1107.5588`.

[6] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, `arXiv:math/0104151`;
A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells*, `arXiv:math/0305434`;
V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmuller theory*, `arXiv:math/0311149`.

[7] T. Hodges and T. Levasseur, Comm. Math. Phys. **156** (1993) 561;
T. Hoffman, J. Kellendonk, N. Kuntz and N. Reshetikhin, Comm. Math. Phys. **212** (2000) 297, `arXiv:solv-int/9906013`;
M. Kogan, A. Zelevinsky, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2002, no. 32, 1685–1702, `arXiv:math/0203069`.

[8] N. Reshetikhin, *Characteristic systems on Poisson Lie groups and their quantization*, in *Integrable systems: from classical to quantum* (Montre'al, QC, 1999), 165188, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000; arXiv:0103147.

[9] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, *Exotic cluster structures on SL(n): the Cremmer-Gervais case*, arXiv:1307.1020;
M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, *Cremmer-Gervais cluster structure on SL(n)*, arXiv:1308.2558.

[10] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, *Cluster Algebra and Poisson Geometry*, AMS-2010.

[11] H. Williams, *Double Bruhat Cells in Kac-Moody Groups and Integrable Systems*, arXiv:1204.0601;
H. Williams, *Cluster Ensembles and Kac-Moody Groups*, Adv. Math. **247** (2013), pp. 1-40,

arXiv:1210.2533;
H. Williams, *Q-Systems, Factorization Dynamics, and the Twist Automorphism*, arXiv:1310.6624.

[12] R. Schwartz, *The pentagram map is recurrent,* Experiment. Math. 10 (2001), 519–528;
V. Ovsienko, R. Schwartz and S. Tabachnikov, *The Pentagram map: a discrete integrable system*, Comm. Math. Phys. 299 (2010), no. 2, 409–446, `arXiv:0810.5605`;
V. Ovsienko, R. Schwartz and S. Tabachnikov, *Liouville-Arnold integrability of the pentagram map on closed polygons*, `arXiv:1107.3633.`;
M.Glick, *The pentagram map and Y-patterns*, Adv.Math. 227 (2011), no. 2, 1019-1045, `arXiv:1005.0598`;
M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro, S. Tabachnikov and A. Vainshtein, *Higher pentagram maps, weighted directed networks, and cluster dynamics*, Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 19 (2012), 1–17. `arXiv:1110.0472`.

[13] F. Soloviev, *Integrability of the Pentagram Map*, `arXiv:1106.3950`;
B. Khesin, F. Soloviev, *The pentagram map in higher dimensions and KdV flows.* Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 19 (2012), 86–96, `arXiv:1205.3744`, *Integrability of higher pentagram maps,* `arXiv:1204.0756`.

[14] H. Williams, in *Toda systems, quiver representations, and N=2 field theory*, Lectures at Summer school on cluster algebras in mathematical physics, Nagoya 2014.

[15] S.Ruijsenaars, Comm.Math.Phys. **133** (1990) 217.

[16] Yu.B. Suris, *Algebraic structure of discrete-time and relativistic Toda lattices,* Phys.Lett. A156 (1991), 467-474.

[17] V. Fock and A. Goncharov, *Cluster X-varieties, amalgamation and Poisson-Lie groups.* In *Algebraic Geometry Theory and Number Theory*, pp. 27–68, Progr. Math., 253, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006. `math.RT/0508408`.

[18] E. B. Vinberg, A. L. Onischik, *Seminar on Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1988

[19] Lie online service: http://www-math.univ-poitiers.fr/ maavl/LiE/form.html

[20] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press


1
3
2
4
x 1
y 1
y 2
x 2
x 4
y 4
x 3
y 3


x1 x3
y1 y3
y2
x2
x4
y4
x̃1
ỹ1
ỹ2
x̃2
x̃4
ỹ4


x1 x4
y1 y4
y2
x2
x3
y3
x̃1
ỹ1
ỹ2
x̃2
x̃3
ỹ3


x1
y1
y3
x3
x4
y4
y2
x2
y5
x5
x6
y6
x7
y7


D_5
1
2
3
4
5
F_4
1
2
3
4
B_3
1
2
3
G_2
1
2








1
4
0
2
3
x1
y1
x0
y0
y2
x2
x4
y4
x3
y3